

Clark County Rare Plant Modeling, Inventory and Soil Analysis

Sonja Kokos Project Manager kokos@co.clark.nv.us

Background

Presentation Overview

• Process Modeling Sample Design Validating models through surveys Soil Analyses • Next Steps



Selected Rare Plant Species



Beaver Dam breadroot

Las Vegas buckwheat





Selected Rare Plant Species



Las Vegas bearpoppy

Threecorner milkvetch





Selected Rare Plant Species



White bearpoppy

White margined beardtongue





Selected Rare Plant Species



Sticky ringstem

Yellow twotone beardtongue





Process for determining where to conduct surveys

A contracted soil scientist and county staff reviewed and ground truthed the SSURGO Soils Data:

•Determined there were major gaps in coverage and badlands mapping appeared inconsistent

•Significant over-selection and under-selection for both gypsum and sandy soils

•Remote sensing offered an alternative approach for systematically mapping gypsum and sandy soils



Remote Sensing and Modeling

TerraSpectra Geomatics

- Used remote sensing to identify potential areas of gypsum and sandy soils within Clark County
- Classifications were evaluated against
 - Aster Imagery, SSURGO Soils Data, and Landsat ETM+ Imagery
 - Selected geologic maps
 - Known plant locations
 - Validation field trips
- Classifications used to select survey locations
- Quick and relatively inexpensive



ASTER Gypsum Classification





Geologic Mapping and Aster Classification for Gypsiferous Soils





ASTER Quartz Classification





Gypsum and Sand (Quartz) Classification





Table 1. Gypsum Species Distribution by Classification

Species	ASTER Classed Gypsiferous Geologic Unit	ASTER Classed Non- Gypsiferous Unit	ASTER Classed Spring (Groundwater Discharge) Deposit	Not ASTER Classed Gypsiferous Unit	Not ASTER Classed Non- Gypsiferous Unit	Not ASTER Classed Spring (Groundwater Discharge) Deposit
Anulocaulis leiosolenus var. leiosolenus	66	1	2	32	2	1
Arctomecon californica	1161	196	52	1298	662	422
Eriogonum corymbosum var. nilesii	88	76	260	88	147	542



Table 2. High, Medium, and Low Intensity Sampling Strata for Gypsum Species

Species	ASTER Classed Gypsiferous Geologic Unit	ASTER Classed Non- Gypsiferous Unit	ASTER Classed Spring (Groundwater Discharge) Deposit	Not ASTER Classed Gypsiferous Unit	Not ASTER Classed Spring (Groundwater Discharge) Deposit
Anulocaulis leiosolenus var.	High	Medium	Low	High	Medium
leiosolerius	nign	Medium	LOW		Medium
Arctomecon californica	High	Medium	Low	High	Medium
Eriogonum corymbosum var. nilesii	Medium	Medium	High	Medium	High



desert conservation

Sand Habitat Model

respect, protect and enjoy our desert!

Table 3. Sand Species Distribution by Classification

	Astragalus				
Classification	geyeri var.	Eriogonum	Eriogonum	Pediomelum	Penstemon
	Inqueirus	Dilurcalum	visciaulum	castoreum	albomarginatus
Not Quartz Classified, Eolian	1				504
Not Quartz Classified, Mixed Eolian and Alluvium		71			3414
Not Quartz Classified, Younger Alluvium, Beneath a Soil with at least 75% Sand by Weighted Average within the First Foot of	2				543
501	2				343
Quartz Classified, Drought Exposed Lake Bed	1		45		
Quartz Classified, Eolian	532		3	1	
Quartz Classified, Mixed Eolian and Alluvium					830
Quartz Classified, Non-Clastic Tertiary Bedrock and Mesozoic, Paleozoic, and Proterozoic Bedrock, Beneath a Soil with at least 75% Sand by Weighted Average within the First Foot of Soil	64		1		
Quartz Classified, Older Alluvium	72		56	1	
Quartz Classified, Playa					6
Quartz Classified, Quartz Sand Veneer Over Calcrete	62			1	
Quartz Classified, Tertiary Clastic Bedrock	45		48	6	
Quartz Classified, Younger Alluvium	161		19	2	82



desert conservation PROGRAM

respect, protect and enjoy our desert!

Sand Habitat Model

Table 4. High, Medium, and Low Intensity Sampling Strata for Sand Species

Classification	Astragalus geyeri var. triquetrus	Eriogonum bifurcatum	Eriogonum viscidulum	Penstemon albomarginatus
Not Quartz Classified, Eolian				High
Not Quartz Classified, Mixed Eolian and Alluvium		High		High
Not Quartz Classified, Younger Alluvium, Beneath a Soil with at least 75% Sand by Weighted Average within the First Foot of Soil				High
Quartz Classified, Drought Exposed Lake Bed			High	
Quartz Classified, Eolian	High		Low	
Quartz Classified, Mixed Eolian and Alluvium				High
Quartz Classified, Non-Clastic Tertiary Bedrock and Mesozoic, Paleozoic, and Proterozoic Bedrock, Beneath a Soil with at least 75% Sand by Weighted Average within the First Foot of Soil	Medium			
Quartz Classified, Older Alluvium	Medium		High	
Quartz Classified, Quartz Sand Veneer Over Calcrete	Medium			
Quartz Classified, Tertiary Clastic Bedrock	Low		Medium	
Quartz Classified, Younger Alluvium	High		Medium	Medium



²⁰⁰⁵⁻CC-497, year 2 of 3 progress report, page 18







²⁰⁰⁵⁻CC-497, year 2 of 3 progress report, page 21





²⁰⁰⁵⁻CC-497, year 2 of 3 progress report, page 23



- Sites were randomly selected using Generalized Random Tessellation Stratified (GRTS) survey design
- Surveys began the first week of April
- Over 400 sites have been surveyed to date

Clark	County	Dese	rt Conserv	ation Pro	gram	Rare P	Plant	Inventory		Jones &
Field S	Survey D	Data F	orm for <u>SU</u>	RVEY PL	<u>ots</u>				an 105 in	Stokes
Send to	ICF .	Jones &	Stokes							
	Attn	n: Brad	Schafer	CA 05044				em	ail: bschafer	@jsanet.com
	630 Eav:	A Stree	t, Sacramento, 87-3030	CA 95814						
	FdX.	910-73	57-3030	and the second second second second						
Date of	Field Work	к: 1¢	MAY 2007	Approximat	e Time:	<u>Ιφ</u> :	г¢	Survey	Plot #:	- 34
Botanist	t Names:		AUREL A.	M. BAK	τ ε λ.			GPS Unit Nu	umber:	4950
Geograp	phic Unit:	🗆 Ap	ex	Sandy Valley Muddy			uddy R	liver Spring I	Mountain Foo	othills
		Bo	wl of Fire	Sheep Ra	ange	🗆 Pa	iute El	dorado 🛛 🗆 Upper l	V Wash	
			yote Springs	Ivanpah		🗆 Ra	inbow	Gardens		
)と Go	old Butte	Mormon	Mesa					
Target S	species	Anulo	caulis leisolen	15	Yes 🗆	No 🗹		Eriogonum corymbosun	n var. nilesii	Yes 🗆 No 🗹
Observe	ed:	Arcto	mecon californ	ica	Yes 🗆	No 🖾		Eriogonum viscidulum		Yes 🗆 No 🖾
		Arcto	mecon merriar	nii	Yes 🗆	No 🖾		Pediomelum castoreum		Yes 🗆 No 🗖
		Astra	galus geyeri va	r. triquetrus	Yes 🗆	No 🖄		Penstemon albomargin	atus	Yes 🗆 No 🛱
		Eriog	onum bifurcatı	m	Yes 🗆	No 🖸		Penstemon bicolor ssp.	bicolor	Yes 🗆 No 🔽
General	Aspect:	🗆 No	rth	□ North ea	st					
		□ So	uth	□ South eas	st					
		Ea:	st	South we	est					
		D We	est	🗆 North we	est					
		🖾 Va	riable	Flat						
								Cryptogamic Crust Pres	ent? Yes	□ No 🖾
Slope:	Minimur	m		Maximum						
	🗆 0–1 d	legree		□ 0-1 degr	ee			Threats/Disturbance:	□ Roads	
	Ø1−5 d	legrees		1–5 degr	ees				🖾 Trails	
	□ 5-10	degree	s	🗆 5–10 deg	rees				OHV A	ctivity
	□ 10-20	0 degre	es	□ 10-20 degrees				Dumpin	ng and Trash	
	20-4	5 degre	es	🖾 20–45 degrees					Eviden	ce of Fire
	□ great	er than	45 degrees	🗆 greater t	han 45 d	egrees			□ None	
Vegetat	ion Comp	osition:				D	omina	nt <u>Co-domina</u>	ant	Associate
			Larrea triden	ata			æ			
			Ambrosisa du	mosa				Q		
			Atriplex cane	scens						
			Atriplex confe	ertifolia						
			Atriplex hyme	enelytra						
			Chrysothamn	us sp.						
			Coleogyne ra	mosissima						
			Encelia sp.							
			Ephedra sp.							4
			Grayia spinos	a						
			Hymenoclea :	salsola						
			Juniperus ost	eosperma						
			Acacia greggi	1						
			Lycium sp.							
			Prosopis glan	dulosa						
			Psorothamnu	c cn						N/

Vegetation Compo	osition Cont'd:		Dominant		Co-dominant	Associate			
Yucca baccata									
	Yucca brevifolia								
	Yucca schidigera								
	Yucca utahensis								
Indicator Species:									
	Petalonyx parryi		Yes 🗆	No 🗗					
	Petalonyx thurberi		Yes 🗆	No 🖾					
	Astragalus sabulonum		Yes 🗆	No 🖾					
	Astragalus amphioxys var. amphio	xys.	Yes 🗆	No 🖸					
Pleuraphis sp			Yes 🛱	No 🗆					
	Oenothera sp.		Yes 🗆	No 🗹					
	Achnatherum hymenoides		Yes 🗆	No 🗹					
Soils:	Sand	Rock		Gyp	sum/Calc				
	Ø 0-25%	0-25%			0-25%				
	25-50%	25-50%			25-50%				
	50-75%	2 50-75%			50-75%				
	□ 75-100%	□ 75-100	1%		75-100%				
	□ None	None			None				
Rock Outcrops:	Limestone Sandstone								
	Other								
	Ad None		Concernation of the second						
Photo Taken: Yes	Photo Facing Direc	ction:	SE						
Other Dave Caral	Charged								
Other Kare Species	s Observed:								
Invasive Plants									
Schuc	brand prci.								
Jenne,									
Other Comments:									
1 100:00 +0.00	Contato a la Loca - La con En	la reduce to	XX PLOKE	Gount	Re acourt a un	AV. 641.00			
DARIERO TRIPL	I de trata a trata T	1		lide	persures chit	wille du me for um,			
Kroschin mo	ilavice, F, michepes, liqui	un cant	icency "		(r. ()				
pose uraining 1	pinnere, schismis boibal., O	secures 0	a color ten ev	y Lepio	I d as Oceupar,	Plantago craite,			
Ciypkinthe 1	sterocarya, Cimicrowthe, 5,	harrale	oc aub.gi	a Cala	chartes a tex Coso) anovie everla			
Mawaillorin	lebancistro, Echiacteria else	durandi"	Gy lindrep	ich lia t	chinacarps Fri	And the second			
Chanza why	brenicina, Carigida, Au	male was	s Srewa	ti; Ma	chaprauthen p	hundi hick)			
Alacie K. According to be care to be and care to be love Cuscile a latering									
and the first the state of the									
provormannes over mentici) Fredita Cleviance Delparinter portari, tone to bring									
Charensedis carphochin, Ephadra aspeno, Eschechiltra Syptosperno, Lesgierenni itarina,									
character fremovili's Enastrice remain, copposition breadering monter hardbare his									
take ssone booth boothis. Pecteraryon platycoipa, chargenal is more the style cline micropulation									
Pleurashis rigida Mentael Tricisac Eurogomen destexcu Atalian de Lahrilla									
6	- print zena pricip	2.1.	Ferreil	ev lie	A GIPLE Endi	tryanch			
derence camps	ens) EVICALLY HUL LOUGLOW,	entry	L. Carles	10 0	shires in the	. inverse			
Canassen.v cl	auseria, tiquilia lation,	Cryptow	ING LITERS	ing, P	revocarya noten	DCarpt)			
thameric Gr	trameric grayi, Hypubuoclea saliola,								



Clark County Rare Plant Modeling, Inventory and Soil Analysis

PRELIMINARY DATA



a state to



A AT

o

D

O

00 00

DORDE





2005-CC-497, year 2 of 3 progress report, page 30



- Starting this fall UNLV will begin to collect additional soils data for the Las Vegas buckwheat.
- The goal of the soil analyses is to bridge the gap in the current knowledge regarding why this particular species occurs in some areas and not in others with visually identical substrates



Next Steps

- Survey remaining plots
- Analyze the data being collected by Jones & Stokes
- Refine models using latest vegetation layers, soils information, elevation, fire history, data collected from surveys, etc.
- Collaborate with agencies conducting similar modeling exercises



- Matt Hamilton and Lee Bice for their assistance and support on all aspects of the project
- Dave Brickey and Larry Tinney for their assistance in developing the gypsum and sand models
- Rob Sutter and Analie Barnett for their assistance with the sample design
- Jones & Stokes and their subcontractors for the inventory work
- BLM, FWS, NPS, BOR, NDF, NDSL, NRCS, and NNHP